

Oakland County Prosecutor Karen McDonald's Assistant Attorney Marc Keast Misconduct

June 12 2019

Magistrate Andra Richardson Transcript (PG 8-9) Remington Arraignment & Bond

Magistrate Andra Richardson:

"Your many history of substance abuse or addiction, which your prior felony did deal with substance abuse and addiction. The reputation for dangerous -- being dangerous. There's a reputation for dangerous when we look at the fact that the conduct allegedly was continued and you're on a probation for a drug offense and now someone has died as result of these drugs. The seriousness of the offense. That's another thing I have to look at and looking at the seriousness of this offense. It's just very serious it caused; it was -- it caused death. It's the death of another person. For those reasons:

I do find you to be a danger to our society and to safety of the public and the bond is \$1,000,000 cash surety no 10 percent."

June 19, 2019

Judge Reeds Transcript: Probable Cause Conference.

Judge Reeds: "Just so the record's clear. At this point having looked through the pretrial services report taking into account the fact that he's had other pending cases for which he was on probation for, he's got a dismissed under HYTA case for throwing objects at trains or cars from Livonia, two other charges dismissed of the similar nature there.

One of the concerns that I have initially would be what assurances could you give me that a young man like this would conform to rules like drug testing and other things?

He's on probation already when this allegedly happened so, I'm just not convinced at this point. You can maybe convince me after the exam, but at this point I just wouldn't -- I wouldn't change the bond myself."

June 19, 2019, 2:32 PM Defense attorney Tweet

after he received all the evidence from the prosecutors.

"Just an FYI from your a criminal defense lawyer If you thought your @Snapchat messages were secret and private, I've got a DVD and table of snap messages from a current homicide case to prove you wrong."

September 27, 2019, Defense attorney Rockind argument to Judge Reeds

Rockind: "All it would take is an individual to know March 19th someone to have access to the account and a password."

The night before the preliminary examination September 26, 2019, Nicholas Remington's best friend and eyewitness to the murder, Connor Gibaratz, obstructed justice and logged into Remington's Snapchat account and sent a Snap from his account. After the hearing I told Beth Hand, and my husband showed her a screenshot that was sent from Denis' friend Avery. After the hearing my husband and I approached Beth Hand and I told her, "I believe Neil Rockind logged into Remington's snapchat account last night. How does he know that someone had access to Remington's username and password?" She replied,

"He can lose his license. Send it to Balog for investigation."

October 16 2019 [Judge Reeds Transcript Examination-Bond Denied](#) PG 27-30 (Bind Over)

Judge Reeds: *Okay. So, there has been a lot of arguments made. I'm sure that those arguments are not done. However, there is a couple of things that were raised. ? Is it possible that someone could hack your account and make messages? Yes. That's true. That's always true. But to assume that, I don't think that's rational. Was this account registered to him, were the messages made by him, that's the another objection by the defense. I looked through every single one of these Snaps. Circumstantially, they clearly identify the username Hulkolas as Mr. Remington. There are references to the time frame of incarceration as being in jail. As what clearly appears to be a pattern of of drug dealing at various different points there are requests for what appear to be Venmo payments, where Hulkolas is responding with Nicholas Remington 1.*

There are Snaps where there is an address where Hulkolas is saying, "My addy is in Northville." That's where the defendant's address is. At one point I think the defendant let me find it, at one point the defendant actually gives the street address in Northville of the house, so yes.

*All of those circumstantial facts could be made up by someone, but I think the more rational interpretation of all the context of these is **that the Hulkolas is Mr. Remington.** I think it would be a defiance of reason to think otherwise. They're incomplete; you're absolutely right they're incomplete. But that doesn't mean that what's in there is wrong, it just means it's not complete. If you got a medical record and four pages of the medical records were not there that wouldn't mean that none of the medical records were admissible, but only that there were holes in that and that would go, in my opinion, to weight. Obviously higher and better minds will look at it, but I just don't see any legitimate argument that this is not Mr. Remington's account and that the statements made there are somehow inherently untrustworthy just because they're not totally complete.*

With regard to whether or not he actually gave the drugs to the decedent, that's a little tougher. There are many different responses that appear from the – a Snap that must have been posted on the 19th asking basically what is – what was he on, what was the kid on, what – various different versions of that where Hulkolas responds methyline, some Mol or Mol.

And there is also some – there is also one pretty relevant Snap from a person that appears to be named on this application as Connor Gibaratz. I looked at the information, Connor Gibaratz is endorsed as a witness. Frankly, I would have liked to have heard from him.

October 29 2020

[Defense attorney Neil Rockind contributed \\$7,150 to Karen McDonald's campaign](#)

Since June of 2019, Neil Rockind made every attempt to convince the court to dismiss the case, remove Beth Hand, quash the evidence, and even that his defendant wasn't the person sending Snaps from his client's account. None of the judges bought his BS so he changed tactics and helped get a new prosecutor in office who would help his client get away with murder.

[December 4, 2020, Judge Alexander Transcript Motion to remove Beth Hand PG 22-23](#)

Judge Alexander: *All right, calm down I mean I think Ms. Hand was doing - was doing an - was doing her job as a prosecutor, she was talking to a witness who was going to testify at trial. She decided not to call him for whatever reason. She thought that his testimony was going to be - -you know maybe she should have given you some information, Mr. Rockind, but at this point I'm not about to disqualify the prosecutor or the Prosecutor's Office. Denied the motion."*

December 31, 2020

Judge Alexander kept the defendant in jail for 15 months until his retirement.

January 1, 2021: Beth Hand and Judge Alexander retired, and Judge Valentine was assigned to take over with case.

[January 28, 2021, 3:15PM new police report was created.](#)

Keast went to the Novi Police to meet with Detective Balog who created the new reports from #05 to #12. **New supplemental reports #9 & 10 were created on this day.** (per police report stamp)

On the same day, Detective Balog gave Keast a USB with all the Snapchat zip files that Balog received on November 21, 2019, as a result of a warrant submitted to Snapchat. [These files include inculpatory evidence](#) that clearly shows Connor Gibratz logged into Remington's account, the Snapchat came from Gibratz's home address while Remington was in jail, and the communication transcripts between Gibratz and Snapchat users where Gibratz admits to using the account. **Keast withheld all this inculpatory evidence from Judge Valentine** and started his journey to get a murderer out of jail.

February 11, 2021 [PRETRIAL Judge Valentine Transcript](#) Page 4: (23-25) Page 5 (1-4)

Defense: *Let me just say that there's a piece of evidence that was disclosed to us that was made that was turned over to the prosecution in the middle of the exam before the closing arguments in the preliminary examination that completely undermine the basis, from our perspective, of the bind-over.*

The "piece of evidence" Defense is referring to is a Snapchat sent from Remington's account in September of 2019 while he was in jail. The investigation into that Snapchat proved the Snap was sent by Connor Gibratz, Remington's best friend and witness to the murder, and it was sent from Gibratz home address. Defense's argument fails for two reasons:

1) The "piece of evidence" was nothing more than a screenshot of an alleged Snap from the defendant's account. It was not an actual Snap seen within the app; it was third party hear say. Beth Hand saw the screenshot and told us to send it to Balog to investigate. **She had no obligation to share any of this with the defense because there was nothing about the screenshot that was reliable or exculpatory.**

2) A Snapchat sent by someone other than the defendant while he was in jail in September has no weight as to the reliability of the Snapchats sent from his account the night of the murder six months earlier. That Snapchat “undermines” nothing of the basis for bind-over. Page 9 (23)

KEAST: *It's very complicated.* (In response to Rockind suggesting the Snapchat records are unreliable)

It's complicated for Keast because he wants this case under the rug. All of this was argued and settled at the preliminary exam by Judge Reeds. There is nothing complicated or unreliable about Snapchat records. Not only were the records validated and settled by Judge Reeds, but the records were again certified and authenticated at the request of Judge Hubbard in a Zoom call by an authorized officer of Snapchat during the civil case.

March 9, 2021, Bond modified from \$1 million under false pretenses.

[Judge Valentine Bond Motion Transcript \(Pg 3-5\)](#)

Page 3 (22-25) Page 4 (1-25) Page 5 (1-2)

KEAST: Yes, Judge. As the Court knows, I was assigned the case in January of this year. I spoke with Mr. Rockind a number of times regarding some issues regarding discovery I did discover from the beginning, in January, obtained a copy of the police report from beginning to end, and sent it to defense counsel. I think January the 28th of 2021, are what my notes reflect. Counsel and co-counsel, Randy Lewis, went through the discovery. They made me aware last Tuesday night that there were two reports in particular that were not turned over to defense. It appears that those two reports were in the prosecutor's office possession in September or October of 2019. In my opinion, those two reports are exculpatory in nature and should have been turned over in a timely fashion. They were not. I believe Mr. Rockind and his office may be filing a motion regarding that. I have suggested my own remedy to Mr. Rockind. That is included in the stipulated order, Judge. In my opinion, a remand, at a minimum, is necessary in this case. That will cause further delay to this case being adjudicated. Defendant has been in custody; I believe since the beginning of this case. In my opinion, the delay, at this point moving forward, is attributable to the State. So because of that, I do believe that a stipulated order to the effect that was submitted to this Court is appropriate in this case. I have informed the officer in charge, as well as the victim's mother, of the decision that we have made. They both understand the situation that we're in, Judge.

Keast lied to the court about the two reports. The last [police report Beth Hand had in her file was created June 6, 2019](#) (per the FOIA request). If, as Keast asserts, the Prosecutor's office had the reports since September or October 2019, why did he need to go and get them from the Novi Police in January 2021? When he asked Beth Hand about the reports, she told him that she never received them. He told her they were emailed to her by Balog. Beth Hand and our family have challenged Keast to produce the email. He has produced the email nor any other shred of evidence that the reports were in the Prosecutor's possession before he got them from Balog on January 28, 2021 (Note, the date/time stamp on the report he retrieved from Balog is January 28, 2021, at 3:15PM). If they did exist, why didn't Keast present to Judge Valentine those reports, but instead gave her the ones created January 2021? Because they didn't exist and he made it all up.

On March 14, 2024, we met with Chief Erik Zinser of the Novi Police. During this recorded meeting, Chief confirmed every police report, when issued, is date/time stamped, and the stamp cannot be modified. He also told us neither Prosecutor nor the court system have access to their files. They must be printed and handed over. If the reports had been provided at any time before January 2021, the date/time stamp would indicate when. Keast knew all of this but wanted to destroy this case with lies.

Keast also described the reports as “exculpatory” when, in fact, the evidence proves the opposite. The evidence suggests obstruction of justice by the defendant and his best friend, Connor Gibaratz, who was also a co-conspirator in the murder and cover up. The geolocation data included in the Snapchat warrant identifies Connor Gibaratz’s home address as the location from where the Snap originated. In addition to the geolocation data, transcripts from the same warrant show Gibaratz identifying himself as the person using Remington’s account. Keast knew all of this as well, but withheld the evidence from the court.

Keast also lied about speaking with us about his decision. He never spoke to Linda about letting the murderer out of jail over two police reports that didn’t exist. If the police report had existed, and were given to the previous APA, Beth Hand, she would have filed obstruction of justice charges against the eyewitness, Connor Gibaratz. How hard is it for one to understand who was using Remington’s Snapchat account after reading the communications below? Keast had all this evidence but withheld it from Judge Valentine.

From	To	Message	Time Stamp
ryan_clark	hulkolas	This fr nick?	Thu Sep 26 22:57:57 UTC 2019
hulkolas	ryan_clark	For letting me use ur snap	Thu Sep 26 23:23:16 UTC 2019

From	To	Message	Time Stamp
king.sapphire	hulkolas	!!! Are you alright wtf	Thu Sep 26 23:09:20 UTC 2019
hulkolas	king.sapphire	Lol	Thu Sep 26 23:54:08 UTC 2019
king.sapphire	hulkolas	Kk I'll talk to you on ur account	Thu Sep 26 23:54:18 UTC 2019

From	To	Message	Time Stamp
hulkolas	nickporter	?	Thu Sep 26 23:21:14 UTC 2019

From	To	Message	Time Stamp
hulkolas	shojib.mia	connor_gibratz	Thu Sep 26 23:25:01 UTC 2019

From	To	Message	Time Stamp
hulkolas	gluke9980	Not nick	Thu Sep 26 23:50:18 UTC 2019

From that point on, the entire Oakland County Prosecutor's Office succeeded in preventing this disgraceful conduct becoming public knowledge and known to the court. This is an office wide cover up, plain and simple. Keast, David Williams (Chief Assistant Prosecutor), and Karen McDonald are more interested in themselves and their professional/political future than they are about public safety and justice.

On August 9, 2023, we met with David Williams to share all Keast's misconduct. It had not occurred to us that he was an accomplice in the misconduct and the cover up. He actually said, "Geolocation was a new technology in 2021." He had nothing to say when we showed the transcripts and police report #9-#10 with the time stamp proving Beth Hand had left Office by the time it was created. And when he realized we knew Gibaratz sent the Snap from Remington's account while he was in jail, and that it came from his home address, he acknowledged it was grounds for a possible obstruction charge, and he would be contacting the Novi Police to investigate.

Shortly after our meeting with Williams, our attorney followed up with him on the phone. In those calls, Williams threatened us and lied to our attorney:

*"Get not Involved Her Hatred will not play well "Novi police investigator has been appointed"
"Novi PD not excited about your behavior" "Will set up call to introduce to me"*

In the same meeting we had with Chief Zinser on March 14th 2024, he told us nobody from the Oakland County Prosecutor's Office had contacted him or anyone else in his department regarding the Snapchat. This was seven months after our meeting in August 2023. Not only did Williams lie to our faces, he also lied to our attorney.

April 14, 2021 Judge Valentine Transcript Motion to Dismiss

Karen McDonald was present at this hearing. She and Keast both knew Beth Hand never had the police report and that the report contained inculpatory evidence, not exculpatory. They misled Judge Valentine and withheld the evidence proving who used Remington's account while he was in jail.

Page 25 (9-11) page 27 (9-22) page 28 (2-4)

Keast: I absolutely agree with Mr. Rockind that this evidence should have been turned over in 2019. There is no argument there. Now, this evidence appears to have been disclosed to assistant prosecuting attorney Beth Hand and the detective on September the 27th, 2019, as they were leaving the courthouse of the 52-1 District Court after the first date of preliminary examination.

That should have been turned over immediately, but it wasn't. Judge Reeds continued the examination October the 16th, 2019. At that examination, Mr. Rockind did not have the benefit of learning this exculpatory information so he couldn't make a motion to reopen proofs. I believe he should. That is why I'm suggesting that this case go back to the district court and the district court judge now have the opportunity to hear the defense arguments in this matter, Judge. I can't argue that there was a violation, Judge, because there was. There's no doubt about that.

Keast's statements are all false. He betrayed his duty to the people of Oakland County and sided with the defense attorney. What Beth Hand saw on September 27, 2019, after the hearing, was a screenshot of what she was told was a Snapchat from Remington's account. It was not the actual Snap being viewed in the application (Mr Thom is not a Snapchat user). The screenshot was texted to Mr. Thom by a friend of Denis'. She couldn't know what she was looking at was truly a Snapchat post. All she had was hearsay from a third party and parents of the victim. Beth Hand had no obligation to turn anything over to defense until the screenshot could be investigated and deemed a) an authentic Snapchat and b) exculpatory. As it turned out, and as discussed previously, the facts regarding the Snap were inculpatory, not exculpatory. Since the evidence was inculpatory and Keast knew it was not exculpatory, the Prosecution never had any obligation under Brady to disclose it in discovery.

Keast was lying by saying "Hand and the detective on September the 27th, 2019, as they were leaving the courthouse." Balog wasn't there and Keast fabricated all of this.

April 15, 2021 [Judge Valentine Transcript Pretrial](#)

Judge Valentine: (Indiscernible) with the Snapchat. I mean, there needs to be -there needs to be complete transparency with regard to any and all discovery, any and all information. You know, going back a year and a half to make police reports and notes, I'm not satisfied with. And I'm not satisfied with regard to the review, you know, ensuring that everything was looked for and turned over. And it's not that I have any information, it's only, Mr. Keast, that you weren't able to honestly answer questions, which I sincerely appreciate from your office, that you've been completely, you know, transparent. And, you know, I see a very bright road ahead with regard to how things are going to change and be done differently. And your honesty with regard to not having requested certain information from witnesses, etc., is refreshing, but I need to make sure that both of our functions, all of our functions in the judicial system are upheld for the entire public. So I want to make sure that there is enough sufficient time for you, sir, to satisfy yourself and to present an affidavit that everything has been searched for and that there has been - anything that's in your custody or control has been turned over.

KEAST: *I appreciate that, Judge.*

What a hypocrite and how corrupt Keast is. He knows that the police reports never existed, and that they were created after Beth Hand left office. The only prosecutor who withheld evidence is Marc Keast who withheld it from the court.

May 18, 2021 [Judge Valentine Transcript Status Conference](#) (Pg 10 (21-22) Pg 11 (12-18))

Judge Valentine: *I will tell you that the Snapchat information will be excluded.*

I understand, and it's cited as the fact that it is a remedy with regard to the Brady violation, I'm more -I would say in my mind it was more the thought process of it just can't be reliable based upon the arguments that Ms. Hand was making to the court at the exact same time that she had information otherwise. It's just not reliable, in my opinion.

Page 13 (9-18)

Judge Valentine: *With regard to, you know, Snapchat, it's not that they're always unreliable. You can, you know, have someone testify as to the issues with regard to if it's their account,*

etc., and that they had control over it. That's not what happened here. That's just absolutely what flies in the face of deceit to the Court, is that it was not in the control of the defendant at the time that the arguments were being made. And that was the majority of the basis for the argument that Ms. Hand was making. And you'll see the citations in the opinion.

Judge Valentine's basis for quashing the Snapchat evidence **is not based in reality.** Two corrupt liars hid the truth and manipulated Judge Valentine. Beth Hand did not have "information otherwise." Valentine asserts that Beth Hand knew the Snapchat account was not in Remington's control but was being used "at the time arguments were being made." The information she had, that someone sent Mr. Thom a screenshot of an alleged Snapchat that allegedly came from Remington's account, was only enough to start an investigation, nothing more than that, and that is exactly what happened. Judge Valentine is parroting the defenses argument that if a Snap came from Remington's account while he was in jail, that means Snapchat is unreliable and should be quashed. That is absurd to the extreme and is not backed by any precedent ruling. This is a case of the weight of the evidence, i.e. Defense must convince a jury the Snaps sent the night of the murder weren't sent by Remington (including the admission of guilt), not a case of admissibility of the evidence, i.e., the jury won't even be able to know about the Snaps. If Keast and Karen McDonald hadn't withheld the evidence from Judge Valentine on April 14, 2021, she never would have come to this decision.

May 18, 2021 [Judge Valentine Opinion-Order](#) Page 3-4-5

Judge Valentine *"Due to discovery concerns, on January 6, 2021, Defendants' counsel served a letter pursuant to Brady v Maryland', which addressed potential due process and discovery violations committed by former Assistant Prosecutor Hand. Current Assistant Prosecutor, Mr. Keast, immediately addressed the issues set forth in the letter. His response confirmed that Ms. Hand intentionally failed to produce a significant amount of discoverable evidence. As conceded by the current prosecutor's office, much of the evidence withheld by Ms. Hand is exculpatory."*

"The concealed evidence includes, but is not limited to, a September 26, 2019 "Snapchat" from a "Hulkolas" account, which was allegedly the name of Defendant's snapchat account. The Snapchat account and its messages are at the center of the issues in this case.

Ms. Hand's failure to produce the discovery and blatant misrepresentation to the Court at the preliminary exam, is nothing short of intolerable due process violations."

"The information that has now been provided shows CR No. 190015581-010, created by Det. Balog, that on 9/27/2019 (Start date of the Preliminary Examination) former Assistant Prosecutor Hand was provided a Snapchat that was sent on 9/26/2019 from the "Hulkolas" account. The undisclosed "snap" was shown to former Assistant Prosecutor Hand by Jamie Thom, the decedent's step-father. The Snapchat stated, "To al 5,000 of you beautiful motherfuckers I need ketamine, who got? Thanks, nick". Defendant Nick Remington, however, was in jail on 9/26/2019 and did not have access to Snapchat or this "Hulkolas" account from jail. This evidence is in direct contravention of the claim of the assistant former prosecutor. This evidence was not produced until 2021 when the current Assistant Prosecutor, Mr. Keast, tendered the discovery to Defense counsel."

Judge Valentine's ruling, the entire basis of her decision is based on misconduct and misinformation provided by the Peoples APA Marc Keast.

A) Hand "intentionally" withheld evidence. There is no proof of this, but Judge Valentine believes it to be true by the hypocrite Keast.

B) "much of the evidence withheld by Ms. Hand is exculpatory." Hand did not withhold any exculpatory evidence. All the evidence was, in fact, inculpatory, and she is under no obligation to provide inculpatory evidence to Defense.

C) Valentine can't seem to understand that Beth Hand, during the preliminary exam, was telling the court all the Snap evidence had been provided to Defense was from the first Snapchat warrant. She wasn't referring to the alleged Snap from Remington's account on September 26, 2019, because the "Snap" had not yet even been verified.

August 26, 2021, Keast remove the bond

Karen McDonald and Marc Keast came up with a remedy that completely removed the \$1,000,000 cash bond. Defense had on several occasions before argued for a reduction, but they were denied and the bond upheld by four previous officials: Magistrate Andrea Richardson, Judge Reeds, Judge Alexander and Judge Valentine.

June 12, 2019, by Magistrate [Andra Richardson Arraignment Transcript](#):

"Your many history of substance abuse or addiction, which your prior felony did deal with substance abuse and addiction. **The reputation for dangerous -being dangerous.** There's a reputation for dangerous when we look at the fact that the conduct allegedly was continued and you're on a probation for a drug offense and now someone has died as result of these drugs. The seriousness of the offense. That's another thing I have to look at and looking at the seriousness of this offense. It's just very serious it caused; it was -it caused death. It's the death of another person. For those reasons, sir **I do find you to be a flight risk.**" **I do find you to be a danger to our society and to safety of the public and the bond is \$1,000,000 cash surety no 10 percent."**

1. June 19, 201 [Judge Reeds Transcript Probate Cause Conference](#)

*THE COURT: Just so the record's clear. At this point having looked through the pretrial services report considering the fact that he's had other pending cases for which he was on probation for, he's got a dismissed under HYTA case for throwing objects at trains or cars from Livonia, two other charges dismissed of the similar nature there. One of the concerns that I have initially would be what assurances could you give me that a young man like this would conform to rules like drug testing and other things? He's -- he's on probation already when this allegedly happened so, I'm just not convinced at this point. You can maybe convince me after the exam, but at this point I just wouldn't **I wouldn't change the bond myself.***

2. October 16 2019 [Judge Reeds Transcript Examination-Bond Denied](#)

(PG 27-28-29) Bond Denied (PG 31-32)

*Judge Reeds: "Okay, so I've listened to all - very carefully to both of your arguments with regard to bond. And I've already put my position on the record about understanding the-the forum on bond that's sweeping this country. The problems that I have with this case with the reduction in bond are as follows. **He's on probation for a felony involving drugs. We have a dead young person as a result of actions** related to this case.*

It's very clear that Mr. Remington is a poor member of the community. He's a drug dealer. That's very clear from these Snaps.

And the-and continuing behavior after this horrific event in dealing drugs, which could impact other people in the community, including potentially the death of other people.

While I understand that a million dollars is an awful lot of money, I think that my-my concern for the community is so high that I'm not going to lower the bond at this point.

I don't know how I could possibly control him. If someone dying from something like this didn't stop him from dealing drugs no piece of paper that I sign is going to do that."

3. March 9 2021 Bond Motion [Judge Valentine Transcript \(Pg 4-5\)](#)

Judge Valentine: *Thank you. I am in receipt of an order, stipulated order requesting to reduce bond from \$1 million to 10,000 and a tether. Correct?*

Mr. Keast, *why don't you go ahead. and address the bond issue.*

KEAST: *In my opinion, the delay, at this point moving forward, is attributable to the State. So because of that, I do believe that a stipulated order to the effect that was submitted to this Court is appropriate in this case. I have informed the officer in charge, as well as the victim's mother, of the decision that we have made. They both understand the situation that we're in, Judge.*

Judge Valentine: *The bond conditions, I want there to be no drug paraphernalia. No drugs. Not being around anybody with regard to drugs. I know it's only to leave the home, but I don't want people coming there either. No drugs. No one -not be around anybody who is using any illegal substance. No contact with anybody Possession, not just using. Does he have a criminal record.*

KEAST: *It looks like a possession of controlled substance, that's correct.*

Judge Valentine: *User or dealer?*

KEAST: *From the charge code, I can't tell if it was 25 or less for the cocaine or heroin, or if it was just a controlled substance, which could be methamphetamine or any other type of drug. I'm going to see if I can find that out.*

It looks like we have a conviction of an ordinance violation, destruction of personal property - I'm sorry, that was dismissed. In 2018, a trespass, misdemeanor trespass, out of Northville Township. In 2017, there were two counts of possession. One was possession of analogs. Judge, that tells me it could be anything from an amphetamine pill, Vicodin, Xanax, of the like. As well as a possession of marijuana. Those were both from 2017. Both have been taken under 74-11 status. And I believe he was on probation for those offenses, if I'm not mistaken.

Judge Valentine: *Right. So with regard to the social media by his own act or a third party's. I don't want any-anything out there with regard to social media at all. And I know that he's going to have to use a computer, you're indicating, for his classes --*

KEAST: *No, Judge. I appreciate the opportunity to amend the order on the record here today. I agree with everything that we have in the stipulated order and everything the Court has said as well.*

February 2, 2022, Interview Connor Gibaratz

The hypocrite Marc Keast interviews Conor Gibaratz with full knowledge he was the one who helped Remington get away with murder. He was an eyewitness to his best friend's crime, someone who was capable and willing to obstruct justice by using Remington's account while he was in jail, he admitted to others he would never turn on Remington, and he was a party in the civil case who pled the 5th to every question asked by the plaintiff's attorney. I wonder if you need to be smarter than a 3rd grader to understand Keast's manipulative little brain. Judge Valentine does not understand how the criminal mind works, and two disgraceful attorneys, Karen McDonald and Marc Keast, should have their licenses revoked for lying to the court.

February 23, 2022, Keast files petition to nolle prosequi

Keast and McDonald always intended to dismiss the case, and their plan B was to go back to circuit court was just an excuse for Judge Valentine. This was their plan from the start and of course Neil Rockind continues feeding Karen McDonald's campaign on my family's pain and her hands are covered in blood.

Regards,

Linda Preka Thom

www.justicefordenis.org

#lovelikedenis