

Oakland County Prosecutor Karen McDonald's APA Marc Keast Misconduct

1. June 12 2019

[Magistrate Andra Richardson Transcript \(PG 8-9\) Remington Arraignment & Bond](#)

Magistrate Andra Richardson:

I do find you to be a danger to our society and to safety of the public and the bond is \$1,000,000 cash surety no 10 percent."

1. June 19, 2019

[Judge Reeds Transcript: Probable Cause Conference.](#)

Judge Reeds: "Just so the record's clear. At this point having looked through the pretrial services report taking into account the fact that he's had other pending cases for which he was on probation for, he's got a dismissed under HYTA case for throwing objects at trains or cars from Livonia, two other charges dismissed of the similar nature there.

One of the concerns that I have initially would be what assurances could you give me that a young man like this would conform to rules like drug testing and other things? He's on probation already when this allegedly happened so, I'm just not convinced at this point. You can maybe convince me after the exam, but at this point I just wouldn't – I wouldn't change the bond myself."

2. [June 19, 2019, 2:32 PM Defense attorney Tweet](#)

after he received all the evidence from the prosecutors.

"Just an FYI from your a criminal defense lawyer If you thought your @Snapchat messages were secret and private, I've got a DVD and table of snap messages from a current homicide case to prove you wrong."

3. September 27, 2019, [Defense attorney Rockind argument to Judge Reeds](#)

The night before the preliminary examination September 26, 2019, Nicholas Remington's best friend and eyewitness to the murder, Connor Gibaratz, obstructed justice and logged into Remington's Snapchat account and sent a Snap from his account. After the hearing I told Beth Hand, and my husband showed her a screenshot that was sent from Denis' friend Avery. After the hearing my husband and I approached Beth Hand and I told her, Rockind: "All it would take is an individual to know March 19th someone to have access to the account and a password."

"I believe Neil Rockind logged into Remington's snapchat account last night. How does he know that someone had access to Remington's username and password?" She replied, "He can lose his license. Send it to Balog for investigation."

4. October 16 2019 [Judge Reeds Transcript Examination-Bond Denied](#)
PG 27-30 (Bind Over)

Judge Reeds: bond over the case one base of the fallowing evidence

"Okay. So, there has been a lot of arguments made. I'm sure that those arguments are not done. However, there is a couple of things that were raised. ? Is it possible that someone could hack your account and make messages? Yes. That's true. That's always true. But to assume that, I don't think that's rational. Was this account registered to him,

were the messages made by him, that's the another objection by the defense. I looked through every single one of these Snaps. Circumstantially, they clearly identify the username

Hulkolas as Mr. Remington. There are references to the time frame of incarceration as being in jail. As what clearly appears to be a pattern of of drug dealing at various different points there are requests for what appear to be Venmo payments, where Hulkolas is responding with Nicholas Remington 1.

There are Snaps where there is an address where Hulkolas is saying, "My addy is in Northville." That's where the defendant's address is. At one point I think the defendant let me find it, at one point the defendant actually gives the street address in Northville of the house, so yes. All of those circumstantial facts could be made up by someone, but I think the more rational interpretation of all the context of these is that the Hulkolas is Mr. Remington. I think it would be a defiance of reason to think otherwise. They're incomplete; you're absolutely right they're incomplete. But that doesn't mean that what's in there is wrong, it just means it's not complete. If you got a medical record and four pages of the medical records were not there that wouldn't mean that none of the medical records were admissible, but only that there were holes in that and that would go, in my opinion, to weight. Obviously higher and better minds will look at it, but I just don't see any legitimate argument that this is not Mr. Remington's account and that the statements made there are somehow inherently untrustworthy just because they're not totally complete.

With regard to whether or not he actually gave the drugs to the decedent, that's a little tougher. There are many different responses that appear from the – a Snap that must have been posted on the 19th asking basically what is – what was he on, what was the kid on, what – various different versions of that where Hulkolas responds methydone, some Mol or Mol. And there is also some – there is also one pretty relevant Snap from a person that appears to be named on this application as Connor Gibaratz. I looked at the information, Connor Gibaratz is endorsed as a witness. Frankly, I would have liked to have heard from him.

5. February 19 2020 APA Beth Hand

People's motion to admit simular acts evidence at trial pursuant to MRE404(b)

Detective Balog testify explained that some of the videos contained filters or overlays which are words or images that are placed on the video that are seen while the video is being viewed. The wording on the overlay's are as follows: (Pg 2)

6. October 29 2020

Defense attorney Neil Rockind contributed \$7,150 to Karen McDonald's campaign

7. December 4, 2020, Judge Alexander Transcript Motion to remove Beth Hand.

Judge Alexander Denied the defense motion to remove assistant prosecutor Beth Hand and any Brady violation. (PAGE 22-23) " I mean I think Ms. Hand was doing - was doing an - was doing her job as a prosecutor, she was talking to a witness who was going to testify at trial. She decided not to call him for whatever reason. She thought that his testimony was going to be - you know maybe she should have given you some information, Mr. Rockind, but at this point I'm not about to disqualify the prosecutor or

the Prosecutor's Office. Denied the motion."

8. December 31, 2020, Judge retired
Judge Alexander kept the defendant in jail for 15 months until his retirement.
9. [January 4, 2021: Per Keast motion Pg 2](#)
"Undersigned Assistant Prosecuting Attorney was assigned this file.
[A phone call was placed to defense counsel](#) sometime between that date and January 6, 2021. Defense counsel followed up with the email attached to his motion as Exhibit A".
10. January 6, 2021 - January 27, 2021
"In response to concerns [expressed by defense counsel in his letter](#), undersigned A.P.A. contacted Detective Balog, the officer in charge of the investigation, [to obtain a complete copy of all police reports so all parties could be assured discovery was complete.](#)"
11. [January 28, 2021, 3:15 PM Keast went to Novi police report #9 #10 was created.](#)
"A complete copy of the Novi Police Department report was obtained."
[New supplemental reports #9 & 10](#) (Police report stamp [Create on 1/28/2021 03:15 PM](#)). On the same day, Detective Balog gave Keast a USB with all the Snapchat zip files that Balog received on November 21, 2019, as a result of a warrant submitted to Snapchat. [These files include inculpatory evidence](#) that clearly shows Connor Gibaratz logged into Remington's account, the Snapchat came from Gibaratz's home address while Remington was in jail, and the communication transcripts between Gibaratz and Snapchat users where Gibaratz admits to using the account. [Keast withheld all this inculpatory evidence from Judge Valentine](#) and started his journey to get a murderer out of jail.
12. [February 3, 2021: Per Keast Motion Pg 2](#)
Keast "A packet of discovery, including supplemental reports #9 and #10 were mailed to defense counsel."
[The pocket of discovery is all inculpatory evidence the Zipfile](#) from Snapchat and report #9 and #10 was police was created after APA Hand left the office
13. February 11, 2021 [PRETRIAL Judge Valentine Transcript \(Page 4.23-25; 5.1-4\)](#)
Per Keast Motion "A pretrial conference was held before this Court. Defense counsel stated that he would be filing a motion regarding information learned through the [discovery tendered.](#)"
Defense: Let me just say that there's a piece of evidence that was disclosed to us that was made that was turned over to the prosecution in the middle of the exam before the closing arguments in the preliminary examination that completely undermine the basis, from our perspective, of the bind-over.

The “piece of evidence” Defense is referring to is a Snapchat sent from Remington’s account in September of 2019 while he was in jail. The investigation into that Snapchat proved the Snap was sent by Connor Gibratz, Remington’s best friend and witness to the murder, and it was sent from Gibratz home address. Defense’s argument fails for two reasons:

1) The “piece of evidence” was nothing more than a screenshot of an alleged Snap from the defendant’s account. It was not an actual Snap seen within the app; it was third party hear say. Beth Hand saw the screenshot and told us to send it to Balog to investigate. **She had no obligation to share any of this with the defense because there was nothing about the screenshot that was reliable or exculpatory.**

2) A Snapchat sent by someone other than the defendant while he was in jail in September has no weight as to the reliability of the Snapchats sent from his account the night of the murder six months earlier. That Snapchat “undermines” nothing of the basis for bind-over.

[KEAST: It's very complicated. \(Page 9.23\)](#)

Keast misleads Judge Valentine again that this case its very complicated and falsely accuse Beth Hand for misconduct. All Hand was argued and settled at the preliminary exam by Judge Reeds. There is nothing complicated or unreliable about Snapchat records. Not only were the records validated by Judge Reeds, but the records were again certified and authenticated at the request of Judge Hubbard in a Zoom call by Steven Dufresne, Law Enforcement Outreach Lead for Snapchat Inc (stephen@snap.com) and Becca Haciski, Senior Counsel for Safety and Law Enforcement at Snap Chat Tel : 410-746-6138 (rhaciski@snapchat.com).

14. [March 2, 2021: Per Keast Motion Pg 2](#)

Keast: **“Phone conversation occurred between undersigned A.P.A. and defense counsel. Defense counsel stated that he had never received supplemental reports #9 and #10, nor did former A.P.A. Hand disclose the contents of those reports to him”**
Keast knows the supplemental report was never created before January 21 2021.

15. [March 3, 2021: Per Keast Motion Pg 2](#)

Keast **“Undersigned A.P.A. confirmed defense counsel's assertion that those reports were not included in any proof of service filed with either the District or the Circuit Court.”**

16. [March 5, 2021: Per Keast Motion Pg 2](#)

Keast **“Undersigned A.P.A. had a phone conversation with defense counsel. Undersigned A.P.A. stipulated to the bond order signed by this Court on March 9, 2021, and suggested a remand to the District Court to cure any defect/violation incurred by nondisclosure of evidence referenced in supplemental reports #9 and #10.”**

Keast again failed to inform the court the facts and details pointed out previously regarding the police reports. No "cure" was required because there were no "defect/violation" incurred because nothing was improperly undisclosed by APA Hand.

17. March 9, 2021, Bond modified from \$1 million under false pretenses.

[Judge Valentine Bond Motion Transcript](#) Page 3.22-25; 4.1-25; 5.1-2)

KEAST: "Yes, Judge. As the Court knows, I was assigned the case in January of this year. I spoke with Mr. Rockind a number of times regarding some issues regarding discovery I did discover from the beginning, in January, obtained a copy of the police report from beginning to end, and sent it to defense counsel. I think January the 28th of 2021, are what my notes reflect. Counsel and co-counsel, Randy Lewis, went through the discovery. They made me aware last Tuesday night that there were two reports in particular that were not turned over to defense. It appears that those two reports were in the prosecutor's office possession in September or October of 2019. In my opinion, those two reports are exculpatory in nature and should have been turned over in a timely fashion.

They were not. I believe Mr. Rockind and his office may be filing a motion regarding that. I have suggested my own remedy to Mr. Rockind. That is included in the stipulated order, Judge. In my opinion, a remand, at a minimum, is necessary in this case. That will cause further delay to this case being adjudicated. Defendant has been in custody; I believe since the beginning of this case. In my opinion, the delay, at this point moving forward, is attributable to the State. So because of that, I do believe that a stipulated order to the effect that was submitted to this Court is appropriate in this case. I have informed the officer in charge, as well as the victim's mother, of the decision that we have made. They both understand the situation that we're in, Judge.

Keast lied to the court about the two reports. The last [police report Beth Hand had in her file was created June 6, 2019](#) (per the FOIA request). If, as Keast asserts, the Prosecutor's office had the reports since September or October 2019, why did he need to go and get them from the Novi Police created in January 28 2021? When he asked Beth Hand about the reports, she told him that she never received them. He told her they were emailed to her by Balog. In fact, Beth Hand addressed this in an email to Linda Thom saying "I did not know about that snap prior to the preliminary examination and once I knew about the snap I told you to tell Balog and asked Balog to investigate the origin of the snap and if it was real etc. I did not receive the report from Balog following that request and if I would have, I would have turned it over to the Defendant's attorney." Beth Hand and our family have challenged Keast to produce the email. He has never produced an email nor any other shred of evidence that the reports were in the Prosecutor's possession before he got them from Balog on January 28, 2021 (Note, the date/time stamp on the report he retrieved from Balog is January 28, 2021, at 3:15PM). If they did exist, why didn't Keast present to Judge Valentine those reports, but instead gave her the ones created January 2021? Because they didn't exist. Also on March 14, 2024, we met with Chief Erik Zinser of the Novi Police. During this recorded meeting, Chief confirmed every police report, when issued, is date/time

stamped, and the stamp cannot be modified. He also told us neither Prosecutor nor the court system have access to their files. They must be printed and handed over. If the reports had been provided at any time before January 2021, the date/time stamp would indicate when. Keast knew all of this but wanted to destroy this case with lies

Keast also described the reports as “exculpatory” when, in fact, the evidence proves the opposite. **The evidence suggests obstruction of justice by the defendant and his best friend, Connor Gibaratz, who was also a co-conspirator in the murder and cover up.**

The GEO data included in the Snapchat warrant identifies Connor Gibaratz’s home address as the location from where the Snap originated. In addition to the GEO data, transcripts from the same warrant show Gibaratz identifying himself as the person using Remington’s account. Keast knew all of this but withheld the evidence from the court.

Keast also lied about speaking with us about his decision. He never spoke to Linda about letting the defendant out of jail over two police reports that didn’t exist. If the police report had existed, and were given to the previous APA, Beth Hand, she would have filed obstruction of justice charges against the eyewitness, Connor Gibaratz.

Keast had all this evidence but withheld it from Judge Valentine.

18. April 9, 2021: APA Marc Keast make false statements:

[Keast response to defendant’s supplement motion to quash and dismiss with prejudice.](#)

Keast statements in his motion are all fabricated: PG 3

“Supplemental report #10 details a conversation **between Ms. Linda Preka (decedent’s mother), former A.P.A. Hand (married name of Wiegand) and the officer in charge of the investigation.** See Exhibit .1 **That report reflects that Ms. Preka was shown a snapchat message sent on the Defendant’s account “Hulkolas,” while the Defendant was incarcerated in the Oakland County Jail. According to the report, Ms. Preka showed that snapchat message to both A.P.A. Hand and Detective Balog.** As stated, it does not appear that the supplemental report.” (All in yellow its fabrication from Keast)

The Police report states:

“On 09/27/2019 The preliminary exam was held on this matter at 52-1 District Court. After the hearing, the Oakland County Prosecutor, Beth Wiegand was shown a new SNAP Chat on Nick Remington’s (HULKOLAS) SNAP CHAT account by the victim Preka’s stepfather Jamie Thom. Thom advised Wiegand that he was sent this SNAP CHAT by one of Denis Preka’s friends named Avery Eckert on 09/26/2019.

Judge Reeds already covered the defense claims that his client’s account was hacked.

“Is it possible that someone could hack your account and make messages? Yes. That’s true. That’s always true. But to assume that, I don’t think that’s rational. Was this account registered to him, were the messages made by him, that’s the another objection by the defense. I looked through every single one of these Snaps. Circumstantially, they clearly identify the username Hulkolas as Mr. Remington. [There are references to the time frame of incarceration as being in jail. As what clearly appears to be a pattern of drug dealing at various different points there are requests for what appear to be Venmo payments, where Hulkolas is responding with Nicholas Remington 1.](#)

There are Snaps where there is an address where Hulkolas is saying, [“My addy is in Northville.”](#) That’s where the defendant’s address is. At one point I think the defendant let me find it, at one point the [defendant actually gives the street address in Northville](#)

of the house, so yes.

All of those circumstantial facts could be made up by someone, but I think the more rational interpretation of all the context of these is that the Hulkolas is Mr. Remington. I think it would be a defiance of reason to think otherwise. They're incomplete; you're absolutely right they're incomplete. But that doesn't mean that what's in there is wrong, it just means it's not complete. If you got a medical record and four pages of the medical records were not there that wouldn't mean that none of the medical records were admissible, but only that there were holes in that and that would go, in my opinion, to weight. Obviously higher and better minds will look at it, but I just don't see any legitimate argument that this is not Mr. Remington's account and that the statements made there are somehow inherently untrustworthy just because they're not totally complete.

April 14, 2021 [CLICK HERE FOR Judge Valentine Transcript](#) Motion to Dismiss Keast and Karen McDonald were present at this hearing. McDonald and Keast both knew Beth Hand never had police reports #9 and #10 and based upon the Zip File evidence provided by Novi Detective Balog in January, that report contained inculpatory evidence, not exculpatory. They both misled Judge Valentine and withheld the evidence proving who used Remington's account while he was in jail. (Page 25.9-11; 27.9-22; 28.2-4)

Keast's statements are all false. What Beth Hand saw on September 27, 2019, after the hearing, was a screenshot of what she was told was a Snapchat from Remington's account. It was not the actual Snap being viewed in the application (Mr. Thom is not a Snapchat user). The screenshot was texted to Mr. Thom by a friend of Denis'. Hand could not know what she was looking at was truly a Snapchat post. All she had was hearsay from a third party. Beth Hand had no obligation to turn anything over to defense until the screenshot could be investigated and deemed a) an authentic Snapchat and b) exculpatory. As it turned out, and as discussed previously, the facts regarding the Snap were inculpatory, not exculpatory. Since the evidence was inculpatory and Keast knew it was not exculpatory, the Prosecution never had any obligation under Brady to disclose it in discovery.

Keast misled the court by saying "Hand and the detective on September the 27th, 2019, as they were leaving the courthouse." Balog wasn't there and Keast fabricated all of this.

Keast: I absolutely agree with Mr. Rockind that this evidence should have been turned over in 2019. There is no argument there. Now, this evidence appears to have been disclosed to assistant prosecuting attorney Beth Hand and the detective on September the 27th, 2019, as they were leaving the courthouse of the 52-1 District Court after the first date of preliminary examination.

That should have been turned over immediately, but it wasn't. Judge Reeds continued the examination October the 16th, 2019. At that examination, Mr. Rockind did not have the benefit of learning this exculpatory information so he couldn't make a motion to reopen proofs. I believe he should. That is why I'm suggesting that this case go back to the district court and the district court judge now have the opportunity to hear the defense arguments in this matter, Judge. I can't argue that there was a violation, Judge, because there was. There's no doubt about that.

April 15, 2021 [Judge Valentine Transcript Pretrial](#)

Keast misleads Judge Valentine, knowing the police reports were created after Beth Hand left office. Again, Keast commits misconduct by withholding from the court all the evidence within Snapchat Zip file.

Judge Valentine: (Indiscernible) with the Snapchat. I mean, there needs to be -there needs to be complete transparency with regard to any and all discovery, any and all information. You know, going back a year and a half to make police reports and notes, I'm not satisfied with. And I'm not satisfied with regard to the review, you know, ensuring that everything was looked for and turned over. And it's not that I have any information, it's only, Mr. Keast, that you weren't able to honestly answer questions, which I sincerely appreciate from your office, that you've been completely, you know, transparent. And, you know, I see a very bright road ahead with regard to how things are going to change and be done differently. And your honesty with regard to not having requested certain information from witnesses, etc., is refreshing, but I need to make sure that both of our functions, all of our functions in the judicial system are upheld for the entire public. So I want to make sure that there is enough sufficient time for you, sir, to satisfy yourself and to present an affidavit that everything has been searched for and that there has been - anything that's in your custody or control has been turned over.

KEAST: I appreciate that, Judge.

May 18, 2021 [Judge Valentine Transcript Status Conference](#) (Page 10.21-22; 11.12-18)

Judge Valentine's basis for quashing the Snapchat evidence is based on Keast's misconduct. Marc Keast withheld the evidence and lied to Judge Valentine. Beth Hand did not have "information otherwise." Valentine asserts that Beth Hand knew the Snapchat account was not in Remington's control but was being used "at the time arguments were being made." The information she had, that someone sent Mr. Thom a screenshot of an alleged Snapchat that allegedly came from Remington's account, was only enough to start an investigation, nothing more than that, and that is exactly what happened. Judge Valentine is parroting the defenses argument that if a Snap came from Remington's account while he was in jail, that means Snapchat is unreliable and should be quashed. That is absurd to the extreme and is not backed by any precedent ruling. This is a case of the weight of the evidence, i.e. Defense must convince a jury the Snaps sent the night of the murder weren't sent by Remington (including the admission of guilt), not a case of admissibility of the evidence, i.e., the jury won't even be able to know about the Snaps. If Keast and Karen McDonald hadn't withheld the evidence from Judge Valentine on April 14, 2021, she never would have come to this decision.

Judge Valentine: I will tell you that the Snapchat information will be excluded.

I understand, and it's cited as the fact that it is a remedy with regard to the Brady violation, I'm more -I would say in my mind it was more the thought process of it just can't be reliable based upon the arguments that Ms. Hand was making to the court at the exact same time that she had information otherwise. It's just not reliable, in my opinion.

Judge Valentine: Page 13 (9-18) With regard to, you know, Snapchat, it's not that they're always unreliable. You can, you know, have someone testify as to the issues with regard

to if it's their account, etc., and that they had control over it. That's not what happened here. That's just absolutely what flies in the face of deceit to the Court, is that it was not in the control of the defendant at the time that the arguments were being made. And that was the majority of the basis for the argument that Ms. Hand was making. And you'll see the citations in the opinion.

May 18, 2021 [Judge Valentine Opinion-Order](#) Page 3-4-5

Judge Valentine's ruling, the entire basis of her decision is based on misconduct and misinformation provided by the Peoples APA Marc Keast.

A) Hand "intentionally" withheld evidence. There is no proof of this, but Judge Valentine believes it to be true because of Marc Keast's lies.

B) "much of the evidence withheld by Ms. Hand is exculpatory." Hand did not withhold any exculpatory evidence. All the evidence was, in fact, inculpatory, and she is under no obligation to provide inculpatory evidence to Defense.

C) Judge Valentine is upset with Beth Hand because she believed that during the preliminary exam Hand was telling the court all the Snap evidence provided to Defense included the Snap from Remington's account on September 26, 2019, while he was in jail. That is not what Hand was referring to. She was referring to the Snaps included in the first Snapchat warrant. She wasn't referring to the alleged Snap from Remington's account on September 26, 2019, because the "Snap" had not yet even been verified. Judge Valentine "Due to discovery concerns, on January 6, 2021, Defendants' counsel served a letter pursuant to Brady v Maryland', which addressed potential due process and discovery violations committed by former Assistant Prosecutor Hand. Current Assistant Prosecutor, Mr. Keast, immediately addressed the issues set forth in the letter. His response confirmed that Ms. Hand intentionally failed to produce a significant amount of discoverable evidence. As conceded by the current prosecutor's office, much of the evidence withheld by Ms. Hand is exculpatory."

"The concealed evidence includes, but is not limited to, a September 26, 2019 "Snapchat" from a "Hulkolas" account, which was allegedly the name of Defendant's snapchat account. The Snapchat account and its messages are at the center of the issues in this case.

Ms. Hand's failure to produce the discovery and blatant misrepresentation to the Court at the preliminary exam, is nothing short of intolerable due process violations."

"The information that has now been provided shows CR No. 190015581-010, created by Det. Balog, that on 9/27/2019 (Start date of the Preliminary Examination) former Assistant Prosecutor Hand was provided a Snapchat that was sent on 9/26/2019 from the "Hulkolas" account. The undisclosed "snap" was shown to former Assistant Prosecutor Hand by Jamie Thom, the decedent's step-father. The Snapchat stated, "To al 5,000 of you beautiful motherfuckers I need ketamine, who got? Thanks, nick". Defendant Nick Remington, however, was in jail on 9/26/2019 and did not have access to Snapchat or this "Hulkolas" account from jail. This evidence is in direct contravention of the claim of the assistant former prosecutor. This evidence was not produced until 2021 when the current Assistant Prosecutor, Mr. Keast, tendered the discovery to Defense counsel."

July 21, 2021: Judge Valentine remands the case to District Court.

[August 26, 2021, Keast removes the bond](#)

Keast came up with a remedy that completely removed the \$1,000,000 cash bond after Judge Valentine had reduced it to \$10,000. Defense had on several occasions before argued for a reduction, but they were denied and the bond upheld by four previous officials: Magistrate Andrea Richardson, Judge Reeds, Judge Alexander and Judge Valentine.

[October 20 2021: Probable Cause Conference](#) Judge Law Canceled

[November 17 2021 Probable Cause Conference-Judge Law Transcript](#)

Keast: "Any Time in February I'm open"

[February 2, 2022, Interview Connor Gibaratz](#)

Marc Keast intentionally interviews Connor Gibaratz with full knowledge he was the one who helped Remington get away with murder. He was an eyewitness to his best friend's crime, someone who was capable and willing to obstruct justice by using Remington's account while he was in jail, he admitted to others he would never turn on Remington, and he was a party in the civil case who pled the 5th to every question asked by the plaintiff's attorney. I wonder if you need to be smarter than a 3rd grader to understand Keast's manipulative little brain. Judge Valentine does not understand how the criminal mind works, and two disgraceful attorneys, Neil Rockind and Marc Keast, should have their licenses revoked for lying to the court.

[February 10 2022 Preliminary Examination new date](#)

[February 18, 2022: Letter about dismissing the Case](#)

[February 23, 2022, Keast files petition to nolle prosequi](#)

Keast and McDonald always intended to dismiss the case, and their plan B was to go back to circuit court was just an excuse for Judge Valentine. This was their plan from the start and of course Neil Rockind continues feeding Karen McDonald's campaign on my family's pain and her hands are covered in blood.

[February 25 2022 Judge Law Probable Cause Conference](#)

[February 25, 2022, Email Keast: NO REPLY](#)

[March 8 2022 FOIA Request & March 22 FOIA Received](#) from Oakland County

On this day I discovered about the 2 police reports never existed and Keast lied to the court about APA Hand. [Keast on March 9, 2021, Bond Motion](#)

"It appears that those two reports were in the prosecutor's office possession in September or October of 2019. In my opinion, those two reports are exculpatory in nature and should have been turned over in a timely fashion."

January 31, 2023, Jamie email Keast requesting second snapchat

February 20 2023 FOIA-request Novi Police-Morse Law Firm
March 3, 2023, FOIA received from Novi Police (105PG)-Mike Law Firm

[September 22, 2022, Call from Austin Kittrell](#)

We received a phone call from Austin Kittrell asking to talk to our attorney because he has information about Remington obstructing justice by giving Connor Gibaratz his Snapchat credentials to help Remington get out of jail. He gave us details how Remington's mother gave him instruction to go to Best Buy and get a throw away phone, and not to talk on his phone. He told us Remington's mother paid Gibaratz to not talk and paid all his legal fees in our civil case.

September 22, 2022:

After the phone conversation with Kittrell we called our Attorney Chris Filiatraut (Mike Morse Law) and gave him the details of the conversation. He advised us to call the prosecutor's office. We called Marc Keast and gave him the details, and he assured us the detective would investigate.

October 4, 2022,

Austin Kittrell called again and told us he never heard from Marc Keast and Remington was right for bragging about the influence his defense attorney Neil Rockind has inside the Oakland County Prosecutor's office. This is the point at which I became suspicious of Marc Keast. I started asking questions, requesting FOIA and learning of all the misconduct.

December 13, 2022 [Linda Email to Marc Keast](#) NO REPLY

I asked for 2nd Snapchat transcripts because I wanted to know the geolocation.

January 4, 2023 [Email to APA Keast about the appeal](#)

August 9, 2019, Meeting with David Williams to go over Keast's misconduct. Williams stopped us from discussing Keast's misconduct and said let's start with fresh eyes and ears because Keast is no longer on the case. We asked why geolocation wasn't investigated. He replied, "Geolocation is a new technology." We asked why the transcripts that show Gibaratz using Remington's account and telling people it was Gibaratz using the account was never given to the Court? He would change the subject and not acknowledge that truth. He told us that he call the Novi Police to investigate the geolocation and the transcripts, and there could be obstruction charges.

I asked him, "Why do you have to go the Novi Police? Why not go to Judge Valentine, tell her there was no Brady violation, get the Snapchat evidence readmitted, and get the case back to her court?" NO REPLY

November 22, 2023 [Linda's email to David Williams](#)

Email I have sent to David Williams regarding the meeting in his office. It details all Keast's misconduct. He then sent threats through my attorney regarding Keast.

March 13, 2024 [Linda Email Karen McDonald & Letter](#)

First Email letter I sent to Karen McDonald detailing APA Keast's misconduct. I never heard back from her.

August 12, 2024 [Linda Email Karen McDonald & 2 Email](#)

Second email I sent to Karen McDonald detailing APA Keast's misconduct. I never heard back from her.

October 1, 2024 [Linda Letter & Email to Judge Valentine](#)

Email I sent Judge Valentine letting her know of Keast's misconduct. Her chamber advised me to hire an attorney.

August 5 - 8, 2019 Civil lawsuit Wayne County Judge Hubbard

[The jury found the defendant Nicholas Remington liable for nine counts](#)

Assault, battery, intentionally inflict emotional distress, act in a negligent manner, act in a grossly negligent, act in a willful and wanton manner, commit fraud, commit silent fraud and commit civil conspiracy against decedent Denis Preka. Paul Wiedmaier acted in a negligent and grossly negligent manner toward Denis Preka.